Exhibit C

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

IN RE TWIST BIOSCIENCE CORPORATION DERIVATIVE LITIGATION	Lead Case No. 1:23-cv-01048-MN

NOTICE OF PENDENCY AND PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF DERIVATIVE ACTION

TO: ALL CURRENT RECORD HOLDERS AND BENEFICIAL OWNERS OF TWIST BIOSCIENCE CORPORATION ("TWIST" OR THE "COMPANY") COMMON STOCK AS OF OCTOBER 15, 2026 (THE "RECORD DATE").

PLEASE READ THIS NOTICE CAREFULLY AND IN ITS ENTIRETY. THIS NOTICE RELATES TO A PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AND DISMISSAL OF STOCKHOLDER DERIVATIVE LITIGATION AND CONTAINS IMPORTANT INFORMATION REGARDING YOUR RIGHTS. IF THE COURT APPROVES THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT, YOU WILL BE FOREVER BARRED FROM CONTESTING THE APPROVAL OF THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AND PURSUING THE RELEASED CLAIMS.

IF YOU HOLD TWIST COMMON STOCK FOR THE BENEFIT OF ANOTHER, PLEASE PROMPTLY TRANSMIT THIS DOCUMENT TO SUCH BENEFICIAL OWNER.

THE COURT HAS MADE NO FINDINGS OR DETERMINATIONS CONCERNING THE MERITS OF THE DERIVATIVE ACTION. THE RECITATION OF THE BACKGROUND AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE SETTLEMENT CONTAINED HEREIN DOES NOT CONSTITUTE THE FINDINGS OF THE COURT. IT IS BASED ON REPRESENTATIONS MADE TO THE COURT BY COUNSEL FOR THE PARTIES.

THE DERIVATIVE ACTION IS NOT A "CLASS ACTION." THUS, THERE IS NO COMMON FUND UPON WHICH YOU CAN MAKE A CLAIM FOR MONETARY PAYMENT.

THERE IS NO PROOF OF CLAIM FOR SHAREHOLDERS TO SUBMIT IN CONNECTION WITH THIS SETTLEMENT, AND, IF YOU DO NOT OBJECT TO THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT DESCRIBED IN THIS

NOTICE, YOU ARE NOT REQUIRED TO TAKE ANY ACTION IN RESPONSE TO THIS NOTICE.

Notice is hereby provided to you of the proposed Settlement[1] of the above-captioned derivative action (the "Derivative Action"). This Notice is provided by order of the United States District Court for the District of Delaware (the "Court"). It is not an expression of any opinion by the Court. It is to notify current shareholders of the terms of the proposed Settlement of the Derivative Action.

I. WHY THIS NOTICE HAS BEEN POSTED

This notice (the "Notice") is intended to notify Current Twist Stockholders of the proposed Settlement of the above-captioned derivative action styled *In re Twist Bioscience Corporation Derivative Litigation*, Lead Case No. 1:23-cv-01048-MN (D. Del.). The following parties, through their respective counsel, have agreed upon terms to settle the Derivative Action and have signed the written Stipulation setting forth the terms of the Settlement: (1) plaintiffs Leo Shumacher ("Plaintiff Shumacher") and Trevor Sell ("Plaintiff Sell") (collectively, the "Plaintiffs"), in the Derivative Action, brought derivatively on behalf of Twist; (2) nominal defendant Twist; and (3) individual defendants Emily Leproust, Robert Chess, Nelson C. Chan, Keith Crandell, Jan Johannessen, Xiaoying Mai ("Mai"), Robert Ragusa, Melissa Starovasnik, William Banyai, James Thorburn, and Patrick Weiss ("Weiss") (collectively, the "Individual Defendants," and together with Twist, the "Defendants," and together with Twist and the Plaintiffs, the "Parties"). Subject to the approval of the Court and the terms and conditions expressly provided therein, the Stipulation is intended by the Parties to fully, finally, and forever resolve, discharge, and settle the Released Claims.

[1] The capitalized terms used in this Notice not otherwise defined herein are defined in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement ("Stipulation") (dated October 15, 2026).

On January 22, 2027, the Court will hold a hearing (the "Settlement Hearing"). The purpose of the Settlement Hearing is to determine: (1) whether the Settlement of the Derivative Action on the terms and conditions provided for in the Stipulation is fair, reasonable, and adequate to Twist and Current Twist Stockholders, and should be finally approved by the Court; (2) whether a Judgment, as provided in, and attached as Exhibit E to the Stipulation, should be entered; (3) whether to approve the agreed to Fee and Expense Amount; and (4) such other matters as may be necessary or proper in the circumstances.

II. SUMMARY OF THE LITIGATION

A. Plaintiffs' Allegations

Twist is a biotechnology company that develops deoxyribonucleic acid ("DNA") technologies and products, including synthetic DNA and Next Generation Sequencing tools. Plaintiffs in the Derivative Action allege that from December 20, 2018 until November 15, 2022, inclusive, the Individual Defendants—current or former Company officers and/or members of the Company's Board of Directors (the "Board")—breached their fiduciary duties, violated federal securities laws, and committed other alleged misconduct by, among other things: (1) failing to conduct adequate oversight; (2) causing or permitting the artificial inflation of Twist's gross margins; and (3) intentionally or recklessly making or allowing others to make materially false and misleading statements concerning the effectiveness of the Company's production process.

Plaintiffs allege that, as a result of the foregoing, the Company's stock price declined, precipitating the filing and subsequent settlement of a related federal securities class action lawsuit captioned *Peters v. Twist Bioscience Corporation et al.*, Case No. 5:22-cv-01868-EKL (N.D. Cal.) on behalf of a class of Twist investors against the Company and certain of its officers (the "Securities Class Action").

Defendants deny Plaintiffs' allegations, deny any and all liability alleged in the Derivative Action, and deny that Twist or any Twist stockholder suffered any recoverable damages whatsoever.

B. Procedural History

On August 28, 2023, Plaintiff Sell served a books and records inspection demand on the Company pursuant to 8 *Del. C.* § 220 (the "220 Demand"). After entering into a mutually negotiated Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Agreement on October 4, 2023, Twist produced responsive materials to Plaintiff Sell (the "220 Documents").

On September 25, 2023, Plaintiff Shumacher filed a verified stockholder derivative complaint in this Court on behalf of nominal defendant Twist against the Individual Defendants (except defendant Weiss), alleging causes of action for violations of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"), and under Delaware law for breach of fiduciary duty, aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty, unjust enrichment, and waste of corporate assets, captioned *Shumacher v. Leproust, et al.*, Case No. 1:23-cv-01048-MN (the "*Shumacher* Action").

On November 14, 2023, this Court so-ordered a joint stipulation entered into by the parties to the *Shumacher* Action, staying all further proceedings in the *Shumacher* Action pending the resolution of the anticipated motion(s) to dismiss in the Securities Class Action.

After the motion to dismiss in the Securities Class Action was granted in part and denied in part, on September 25, 2025 the Court in the *Shumacher Action* so-ordered a joint stipulation entered into by the parties, providing that the stay of all proceedings in the *Shumacher* Action shall remain in effect until the earlier of the final resolution of the Securities Class Action, or the announcement of a settlement in the Securities Class Action.

On November 6, 2025, Plaintiff Shumacher entered into a Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Agreement with Twist, giving Plaintiff Shumacher access to the 220 Documents obtained by Plaintiff Sell.

On November 13, 2025, Plaintiff Sell filed a verified stockholder derivative complaint that incorporated the 220 Documents under seal in the Court on behalf of nominal defendant Twist against the Individual Defendants (except Defendant Mai), alleging causes of action for breach of fiduciary duty and unjust enrichment under Delaware law, and for violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, captioned *Sell v. Leproust, et al.*, CaseNo. 1:25-cv-01380-MN. On November 20, 2025, Plaintiff Sell filed the public version of his verified stockholder derivative complaint.

On December 3, 2025, the Court so-ordered a joint stipulation by the Parties: (1) consolidating the *Shumacher* Action and the *Sell* Action into the Derivative Action; (2) appointing Rigrodsky Law, P.A. and Shuman, Glenn & Stecker to serve as Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs; and (3) staying the Derivative Action until the earlier of the final resolution of the Securities Class Action, or the announcement of a settlement in the Securities Class Action.

On April 30, 2026, the lead plaintiff in the Securities Class Action filed the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Proposed Class Action Settlement.

On July 7, 2026, the Court so-ordered a joint stipulation by the Parties, continuing the stay of the Derivative Action pending the Parties' upcoming private mediation, scheduled for July 15, 2026 (the "Mediation").

On August 31, 2026, the Parties filed a Joint Status Report with the Court, informing the Court that the Parties had reached an agreement-in-principle to settle the Derivative Action, and requesting an Order from the Court setting deadlines for Plaintiff to file the Stipulation and a motion for preliminary approval of the Settlement.

On September 1, 2026, the Court entered an Oral Order providing that the executed Stipulation must be filed on or before October 15, 2026, and that a motion for preliminary approval of the Settlement must be filed no later than October 30, 2026.

C. Settlement Negotiations

The Parties, by and through their undersigned attorneys, engaged in months of good faith, arm's-length discussions and negotiations with regard to the possible resolution of the Derivative Action before agreeing to the Settlement. On March 3, 2026, Plaintiffs served a detailed, formal settlement demand on Defendants, which, in relevant part, contained substantial proposed corporate governance reforms concerning the Company's internal controls and corporate governance practices relating to matters alleged in the Derivative Action. Over the next three months, Plaintiffs and Defendants engaged in extensive settlement negotiations, including through meet and confers and the exchange of numerous settlement proposals and counterproposals.

On July 15, 2026, the Parties participated in the full day, virtual Mediation session overseen by an experienced neutral mediator, Robert A. Meyer, Esq. of JAMS ADR (the "Mediator"), who had previously overseen the mediation and related settlement negotiations in the Securities Class Action and was therefore familiar with the relevant issues and factual allegations. In advance of the Mediation, Plaintiffs and Defendants prepared and exchanged mediation submissions. After extensive arm's-length negotiations conducted with the Mediator's assistance during the Mediation, the Parties reached an agreement in principle on the material terms of the Settlement of the Derivative Action, including a series of agreed-to corporate governance reforms (the "Reforms"), attached to the Stipulation as Exhibit A, to be implemented by the Board within forty-five (45) days after Court approval of the Settlement and entry of Judgment thereon becomes Final.

After reaching agreement on the principal terms of the Settlement, the Parties commenced negotiations during the Mediation regarding a reasonable amount of attorneys' fees and expenses to be paid by the Individual Defendants' Insurers to Plaintiffs' Counsel, subject to Court approval, in consideration for the substantial benefits conferred upon Twist and Current Twist Stockholders by the Settlement. At the conclusion of the Mediation, the Parties accepted the Mediator's double-blind proposal for the Individual Defendants' Insurers to pay $1,000,000 in attorneys' fees and expenses to Plaintiffs' Counsel, subject to Court approval. The Court will determine whether to approve the Fee and Expense Amount to Plaintiffs' Counsel and Service Awards for the Plaintiffs to be drawn therefrom (detailed in Section IV herein), or some other amount the Court deems appropriate.

III. TERMS OF THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT

The principal terms, conditions and other matters that are part of the Settlement, which is subject to approval by the Court, are summarized below. This summary should be read in conjunction with, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to, the text of the Stipulation and exhibits thereto, which have been filed with the Court and are also available for viewing on the Investor Relations page of Twist's website at https://investors.twistbioscience.com/.

Within forty-five (45) days after a Court order approving the Settlement and entering Judgment thereon becomes Final, the Board shall adopt resolutions to ensure the adoption, implementation, and maintenance of the Reforms, which are set forth in Exhibit A to the Stipulation, and which shall remain in effect for no less than four (4) years.

Defendants acknowledge and agree that the filing, pendency, and settlement of the Derivative Action was the cause of the Company's decision to adopt, implement, and maintain the Reforms set forth in Exhibit A to the Stipulation. Defendants further acknowledge and agree that

these Reforms confer substantial benefits on the Company and Current Twist Stockholders and that the Settlement on the terms set forth herein is in all respects fair, reasonable, and adequate, and serves the best interests of the Company and Current Twist Stockholders.

IV. PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FEE AND EXPENSE AMOUNT AND PLAINTIFFS' SERVICE AWARDS

Prior to negotiating and agreeing upon the Fee and Expense Amount, the Parties negotiated and agreed upon the Reforms to be adopted as part of the Settlement. The Parties agree that the Settlement confers substantial benefits upon Twist and Current Twist Stockholders, and that Plaintiffs' Counsel are entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and reimbursement of expenses in the amount of $1,000,000 (the "Fee and Expense Amount"), subject to the approval of the Court. The Individual Defendants' Insurers are responsible for the payment of any Fee and Expense Amount, and the Parties agree that no other person or entity shall have any responsibility to contribute to or pay the Fee and Expense Amount. To date, Plaintiffs' Counsel have not received any payments for their efforts on behalf of Twist stockholders, nor have Plaintiffs' Counsel been reimbursed for their out-of-pocket expenses. The Fee and Expense Amount will compensate Plaintiffs' Counsel for the substantial benefits achieved in the Derivative Action, and the risks of undertaking the prosecution of the Derivative Action on a contingent basis. Defendants shall play no role in the allocation of the Fee and Expense Amount among Plaintiffs' Counsel.

Plaintiffs' Counsel intend to apply to the Court for service awards of up to two thousand dollars ($2,000.00) for each of the two Plaintiffs to be paid from the Fee and Expense Amount in recognition of Plaintiffs' participation and effort in the prosecution of the Derivative Action (the "Service Awards"). Defendants shall not object to the application for the Service Awards.

V. RELEASES

Upon the Effective Date, Plaintiffs' Releasing Persons shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment, and to the fullest extent permitted by law, shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, compromised, settled, resolved, relinquished, waived, and discharged the Plaintiffs' Released Claims against the Defendants' Released Persons. Plaintiffs' Releasing Persons shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, covenanted not to sue any Defendants' Released Persons with respect to any Plaintiffs' Released Claims, and shall be permanently barred and enjoined from instituting, commencing or prosecuting any Plaintiffs' Released Claims against any Defendants' Released Persons except to enforce the releases and other terms and conditions contained in the Settlement and/or the Judgment.

Upon the Effective Date, Defendants' Releasing Persons shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment, and to the fullest extent permitted by law, shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, compromised, settled, resolved, relinquished, waived, and discharged the Defendants' Released Claims against the Plaintiffs' Released Persons. Defendants' Releasing Persons shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, covenanted not to sue any Plaintiffs' Released Persons with respect to any Defendants' Released Claims, and shall be permanently barred and enjoined from instituting, commencing or prosecuting any Defendants' Released Claims against any Plaintiffs' Released Persons except to enforce the releases and other terms and conditions contained in the Stipulation and/or the Judgment.

As defined in the Stipulation, "Released Claims" means Defendants' Released Claims and Plaintiffs' Released Claims. As defined in the Stipulation, "Defendants' Released Claims" means all claims or causes of action (including known and Unknown Claims), including, but not limited to, any claims for damages, injunctive relief, attorneys' fees, expert or consulting fees, and any

and all other costs, expenses, or liabilities whatsoever, that could be asserted in any forum by any of the Defendants' Releasing Persons against any of the Plaintiffs' Released Persons, arising out of, relating to, or in connection with the institution, prosecution, assertion, settlement, or resolution of the Derivative Action or the Released Claims. "Defendants' Released Claims" shall not include (1) any claims related to the enforcement of the Settlement or of the Judgment; or (2) any claims by Defendants or any insured to enforce their rights relating to insurance coverage or indemnification, or under any contract. As defined in the Stipulation, "Plaintiffs' Released Claims" means any and all claims, demands, rights, liabilities, losses, obligations, duties, damages, costs, debts, expenses, interest, penalties, sanctions, fees, attorneys' fees, actions, potential actions, causes of action, suits, judgments, defenses, counterclaims, offsets, decrees, matters, issues and controversies of any kind, nature or description whatsoever, whether known or unknown, including Unknown Claims, that were asserted or could have been asserted by Plaintiffs or any of Twist's stockholders on behalf of nominal defendant Twist in the Derivative Action or in any other court, tribunal, forum or proceeding, whether based on state, federal, local, foreign, statutory, regulatory, common or other law or rule or principle of equity, and which are based upon, arise out of, or relate in any way to, or involve, directly or indirectly, (1) the actions, inactions, deliberations, disclosures, discussions, decisions, votes, or any other conduct of any kind by any of the Defendants' Released Persons relating in any way to any facts, matters, events, circumstances, claims, or allegations alleged or that could have been alleged in the Derivative Action, or (2) the institution, commencement, prosecution, defense, mediation, or settlement of the Derivative Action. "Plaintiffs' Released Claims" shall not include (1) any claims related to the enforcement of the Settlement or the Judgment; or (2) any securities fraud claims by a class member pursuant to the approved plan of allocation in the Securities Class Action.

As defined in the Stipulation, "Unknown Claims" means any Released Claim which any of the Releasing Persons does not know or suspect to exist in his, her, or its favor at the time of the release of the Released Claims, including without limitation claims which, if known by him, her, or it, might have affected his, her, or its decision to enter into the Settlement and to provide the releases set forth herein to the Released Persons, or might have affected his, her, or its decision not to object to this Settlement. With respect to any and all Released Claims, the Parties stipulate and agree that, upon the Effective Date, the Parties shall expressly waive and relinquish, and each of the other Releasing Persons shall be deemed to have and by operation of the Judgment shall have expressly waived and relinquished, to the fullest extent permitted by law, the provisions, rights, and benefits conferred by Section 1542 of the California Civil Code and any law of any jurisdiction, or principle of common law, which is similar, comparable, or equivalent to Section 1542 of the California Civil Code. Section 1542 reads:

> A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

As defined in the Stipulation, "Released Persons" means Defendants' Released Persons and Plaintiffs' Released Persons. As defined in the Stipulation, Plaintiffs' Released Persons means Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs' Counsel, and each and all of their past, present, or future family members, spouses, domestic partners, parents, associates, affiliates, subsidiaries, officers, directors, stockholders, owners, members, representatives employees, attorneys, financial or investment advisors, consultants, underwriters, investment banks or bankers, commercial bankers, insurers, reinsurers, excess insurers, co-insurers, advisors, principals, agents, heirs, executors, trustees, estates, beneficiaries, distributees, foundations, general or limited partners or partnerships, joint

ventures, personal or legal representatives, administrators, or any other Person or entity acting or purporting to act for or on behalf of any Plaintiff or any counsel for any Plaintiff, and each of their respective predecessors, successors, and assigns, Twist, and Current Twist Stockholders (solely in their capacity as Twist stockholders). As defined in the Stipulation, "Defendants' Released Persons" means Defendants, Defendants' Counsel, and each and all of their past, present, or future family members, spouses, domestic partners, parents, associates, affiliates, subsidiaries, officers, directors, stockholders, owners, members, representatives employees, attorneys, financial or investment advisors, consultants, underwriters, investment banks or bankers, commercial bankers, insurers, reinsurers, excess insurers, co-insurers, advisors, principals, agents, heirs, executors, trustees, estates, beneficiaries, distributees, foundations, general or limited partners or partnerships, joint ventures, personal or legal representatives, administrators, or any other Person or entity acting or purporting to act for or on behalf of any Defendant or any counsel for any Defendant, and each of their respective predecessors, successors, and assigns.

As defined in the Stipulation, "Releasing Persons" means Defendants' Releasing Persons and Plaintiffs' Releasing Persons, collectively. As defined in the Stipulation, "Defendants' Releasing Persons" means Defendants' Released Persons, in each case in the capacities set forth in the definition of "Defendants' Released Persons" above. As defined in the Stipulation, "Plaintiffs' Releasing Persons" means Plaintiffs' Released Persons (including but not limited to Current Twist Stockholders), in each case in the capacities set forth in the definition of "Plaintiffs' Released Persons" above.

VI. REASONS AND BENEFITS OF THE SETTLEMENT

Counsel for the Parties believe that the Settlement is in the best interest of Twist and Current Twist Stockholders.

A. Why Did the Plaintiffs Agree to Settle?

Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' Counsel assert that they brought their claims in good faith and continue to believe that the claims asserted in the Derivative Action have merit, and Plaintiffs' entry into this Stipulation is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission or concession concerning the relative strength or merit of the claims alleged in the Derivative Action. However, Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' Counsel recognize and acknowledge the significant expense, time, and uncertainty inherent in the continued prosecution of their claims in the Derivative Action through trial(s) and any possible subsequent appeal(s). Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' Counsel have also taken into account the uncertain outcome and the risk of any litigation, especially in complex actions such as the Derivative Action, as well as the difficulties and delays inherent in such litigation. Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' Counsel are also mindful of the inherent problems of proof of, and possible defenses to, the claims asserted in the Derivative Action. Based on their extensive investigation and evaluation, set forth in more detail in the Stipulation, Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' Counsel have determined that the Settlement is in the best interests of Twist and Current Twist Stockholders. Based on Plaintiffs' Counsel's thorough review and analysis of the relevant facts, allegations, defenses, and controlling legal principles, Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' Counsel believe that the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and in the best interests of Twist and Current Twist Stockholders.

B. Why Did the Defendants Agree to Settle?

Defendants have denied, and continue to deny, any and all of the claims alleged in the Derivative Action, including any allegations of wrongdoing, fault, negligence, liability, or damage to the Plaintiffs, the Company, or the Company's stockholders, including, without limitation: that they engaged in any wrongdoing or violation of law; that they breached any fiduciary duty; that

they wasted corporate assets, were unjustly enriched, or aided and abetted any breaches of fiduciary duty; that the Company's disclosures were deficient in any way; that they violated any securities laws; and that they acted in bad faith or improperly in any way. Defendants assert that, at all relevant times, they acted in good faith and in a manner they reasonably believed to be in the best interests of Twist and its shareholders. Defendants maintain that they have meritorious defenses to all claims alleged in the Derivative Action. Nonetheless, taking into account the uncertainty and risks inherent in any litigation, especially in complex cases such as the Derivative Action, Defendants concluded that further litigation of the Derivative Action could be protracted, burdensome, and expensive, and that it is desirable and beneficial that the claims asserted in, or that could be asserted in, the Derivative Action be fully and finally settled and terminated in the manner and upon the terms and conditions set forth in the Stipulation.

VII. SETTLEMENT HEARING

On January 22, 2027, at 10:00 a.m., the Court will hold the Settlement Hearing in Courtroom 4A at the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, 844 N. King Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801-3555. At the Settlement Hearing, the Court will consider whether the Settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate and thus should be finally approved and whether the Derivative Action should be dismissed with prejudice pursuant to the Stipulation. The Court also will rule upon Plaintiffs' Counsel's request for approval of the Fee and Expense Amount to Plaintiffs' Counsel and Plaintiffs' Service Awards.

Please note that the date and time of the Settlement Hearing may change without further written notice to Current Twist Stockholders. In order to determine whether the date and time of the Settlement Hearing have changed, it is important that you monitor the Court's docket before making any plans to attend the Settlement Hearing. Any updates

regarding the Settlement Hearing, including any changes to the date or time of the hearing or updates regarding in-person or telephonic appearances at the hearing, will be available on the Court's docket.

VIII. RIGHT TO ATTEND SETTLEMENT HEARING

Any Current Twist Stockholder may, but is not required to, appear at the Settlement Hearing. If you want to be heard at the Settlement Hearing in opposition to the Settlement, the Fee and Expense Amount, or the Service Awards, then you must first comply with the procedures for objecting, which are set forth below. CURRENT TWIST STOCKHOLDERS WHO HAVE NO OBJECTION TO THE SETTLEMENT DO NOT NEED TO APPEAR AT THE SETTLEMENT HEARING OR TAKE ANY OTHER ACTION.

IX. RIGHT TO OBJECT TO THE SETTLEMENT AND PROCEDURES FOR DOING SO

You have the right to object to any aspect of the Settlement. If you wish to object, you must do so in writing, and you may request to be heard at the Settlement Hearing. If you choose to object, then you must follow these procedures.

A. You Must Make Detailed Objections in Writing

Any objections must be presented in writing and must contain the following information:

1. Notice of intent to appear at the Settlement Hearing;

2. Your name, legal address, and telephone number;

3. Proof of being a Current Twist Stockholder as of the Record Date and representation that you will continue to own Twist common stock as of the date of the Settlement Hearing;

4. The date(s) which you acquired your Twist shares and the number of Twist shares held;

5. A detailed statement of your specific position with respect to the matters to be heard at the Settlement Hearing, including a statement of each objection being made; and

6. The grounds for each objection or the reasons for your desire to appear and be heard.

The Court may not consider any objection that does not substantially comply with these requirements. Any counsel retained by a putative objector for the purpose of asserting an objection must make a notice of appearance on the Court by January 8, 2027, which is fourteen (14) days prior to the Settlement Hearing.

B. You Must Timely Deliver Written Objections to the Court and to Counsel for Plaintiffs

YOUR WRITTEN OBJECTIONS MUST BE FILED WITH THE COURT AND SENT BY HAND OR BY FIRST CLASS MAIL, POSTAGE PRE-PAID TO PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL AND DEFENDANTS' COUNSEL. THE WRITTEN OBJECTIONS MUST BE FILED WITH THE COURT AND POSTMARKED NO LATER THAN JANUARY 8, 2027, WHICH IS FOURTEEN (14) CALENDAR DAYS PRIOR TO THE SETTLEMENT HEARING to the following address:

Plaintiffs' Counsel:

Herbert W. Mondros
RIGRODSKY LAW, P.A.
1007 North Orange Street, Suite 453
Wilmington, DE 19801
Telephone: (302) 295-5310
Email: hwm@rl-legal.com

Clerk of the Court:

United States District Court
District of Delaware
844 North King Street, Unit 18
Wilmington, DE 19801-3570
Telephone: (302) 573-6170

Defendants' Counsel:

James N. Kramer
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
The Orrick Building
405 Howard Street
San Francisco, California 94105-2625
Telephone: (415) 773-5700
Email: jkramer@orrick.com

This Court may not consider any objection that is not timely filed with the Court and delivered to Plaintiffs' Counsel and Defendants' Counsel.

Any person or entity who fails to object or otherwise requests to be heard in the manner prescribed above will be deemed to have waived the right to object to any aspect of the Settlement, will be deemed to have waived any request to be heard (including the right to appeal), and will be forever barred from raising such objection or request to be heard in this or any other action or proceeding, but shall otherwise be bound by the Judgment to be entered and the releases to be given.

Current Twist Stockholders do not need to appear at the Settlement Hearing or take any other action to indicate their approval.

X. HOW TO OBTAIN ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

This Notice summarizes the Parties' Stipulation. It is not a complete statement of the events of the Derivative Action or the Stipulation. Although the Parties believe that the descriptions about the Settlement that are contained in this Notice are accurate in all material respects, in the event of any inconsistencies between the descriptions in this Notice and the Stipulation, the Stipulation will control.

You may inspect the Stipulation and its attachments at https://investors.twistbioscience.com/.

PLEASE DO NOT CALL, WRITE, OR OTHERWISE DIRECT QUESTIONS TO EITHER THE COURT OR THE CLERK'S OFFICE. Any questions you have about matters in this Notice should be directed by telephone or in writing to Plaintiffs' Counsel and Defendants' Counsel at the addresses set forth above.

XI. NOTICE TO PERSONS OR ENTITIES HOLDING OWNERSHIP ON BEHALF OF OTHERS

Brokerage firms, banks and/or other persons or entities who held shares of Twist common stock for the benefit of others are requested to immediately send this Notice to all of their respective beneficial owners. If Current Twist Stockholders have questions or comments about the Settlement, they should follow the procedures listed above.

Dated: October 20, 2026

BY ORDER OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE